

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/885,055		06/21/2001	Kazunori Masaki	35.C15476	4347
5514	7590	04/06/2005	EXAMINER		
		ELLA HARPER	MILIA, MARK R		
	30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·			2622	
				DATE MAIL ED. 04/04/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/885,055	MASAKI, KAZUNORI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark R. Milia	2622				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versions to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.					
	·					
Disposition of Claims						
4) □ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
,						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		hu tha Fuanciasa				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 21 June 2001 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· · · · ·					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		` '				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	-					
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: Fig. 1 reference characters "1000" and "2000". Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Page 10, line 24, "B" should read "D" and page 20, line 24, "D" should read "C". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 15-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 15-21 are directed to a computer program. Such a claim is non-statutory because the terminology "computer program" alone has no set definition. A statutory product with descriptive material must include a positive recitation of the computer readable medium, see MPEP 2106. Examiner suggests amending the claims to read "A computer program embodied in a computer readable medium for performing the steps of..." or "A computer readable medium storing a program for performing the steps of..." or any other similar wording which best clarifies the claim and includes a positive recitation of the computer readable medium. Examiner would also like to point out, by another example, that the language used in claim 22 can be used to amend claims 15-21 and thus the combination should ultimately yield only one claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 7-11, 14-18, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5126858 to Kurogane et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5905935 to Wakamatsu et al.

Regarding claims 1, 8, and 15, Kurogane discloses a print controlling apparatus, method, and computer readable storage medium storing a program capable of outputting a print paper comprising producing means for producing print data by adding additional information to information to be printed (see Figs. 6 and 7, column 3 line 66-column 4 line 17, column 5 lines 20-64, and column 6 line 55-column 7 line 40) and control means for controlling a print position of the additional information on a print image corresponding to the print data produced by said producing means (see column 6 line 55-column 7 line 40).

Kurogane does not disclose expressly input means for inputting information representing presence/absence of the fold designation by an operator.

Art Unit: 2622

Wakamatsu discloses an input means for inputting information representing presence/absence of the fold designation by an operator (see Fig. 4 and column 6 lines 1-13).

Kurogane & Wakamatsu are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, printing image documents consistent with printing properties specified by a user.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the folding device as part of a finishing routine of Wakamatsu with the system of placing additional information, in the form of page numbers and header information, in locations specified by a user of Kurogane.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to provide multiple finishing options and destinations for image documents even when enlargement/reduction options have been chosen by a user and increase the amount of information that can be provided on a single sheet of paper, even over-sized paper, and output the image documents without a disruption in print properties.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Wakamatsu with Kurogane to obtain the invention as specified in claims 1, 8, and 15.

Regarding claims 2, 9, and 16, Kurogane and Wakamatsu disclose the system discussed in claim 1, 8, and 15, and Wakamatsu further discloses wherein the predetermined form is Z-fold (see Figs. 15B and 16, column 6 lines 23-27, and column 7 line 65-column 8 line 25).

Art Unit: 2622

Regarding claims 3, 10, and 17, Kurogane and Wakamatsu disclose the system discussed in claim 1, 8, and 15, and Kurogane further discloses wherein the additional information is either header information of footor information (see Figs. 6 and 8 and column 7 lines 25-40).

Regarding claims 4, 11, and 18, Kurogane and Wakamatsu disclose the system discussed in claim 1, 8, and 15, and Kurogane further discloses wherein the additional information is either date information or page information (see Figs. 6 and 7 and column 6 line 55-column 7 line 24).

Regarding claims 7, 14, and 21, Kurogane and Wakamatsu disclose the system discussed in claim 1, 8, and 15, and Kurogane further discloses position selecting means for selecting the position of the additional information on the print image among a plurality of predetermined positions by the operator, and wherein said control means controls the print position of the additional information on the print image, on the basis of the position selected by said position selecting means (see Figs. 6-8 and column 6 line 55-column 7 line 40).

Kurogane & Wakamatsu are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, printing image documents consistent with printing properties specified by a user.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the folding device as part of a finishing routine of Wakamatsu with the system of placing additional information, in the form of page numbers and header information, in locations specified by a user of Kurogane.

Art Unit: 2622

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to provide multiple finishing options and destinations for image documents even when enlargement/reduction options have been chosen by a user and increase the amount of information that can be provided on a single sheet of paper, even over-sized paper, and output the image documents without a disruption in print properties.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Wakamatsu with Kurogane to obtain the invention as specified in claims 2-4, 7, 9-11, 14, 16-18, and 21.

Claims 5, 12, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kurogane and Wakamatsu as applied to claims 2, 9, and 16 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6045158 to Bergstresser.

Kurogane and Wakamatsu do not disclose expressly wherein control means controls the print position of the additional information on the print image *in such a manner that the additional information can visually recognized in a condition that the paper is folded, when the fold designation is present*.

Bergstresser discloses wherein control means controls the print position of the additional information on the print image in such a manner that the additional information can visually recognized in a condition that the paper is folded, when the fold designation is present (see Figs. 3a-c, column 4 lines 20-23 and 36-41, and column 5 lines 21-28).

Art Unit: 2622

Kurogane, Wakamatsu, & Bergstresser are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, printing documents consistent with printing and finishing properties specified by a user.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the printing of indexing and other information on the margin areas of paper as to be viewable when the paper is folded and unfolded.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to allow the user to easily view index information to open directly to a specific page to obtain the desired information (see column 5 lines 23-28 of Bergstresser).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Bergstresser with Kurogane and Wakamatsu to obtain the invention as specified in claims 5, 12, and 19.

Claims 6, 13, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kurogane and Wakamatsu as applied to claims 5, 12, and 19 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6045158 to Bergstresser.

Kurogane and Wakamatsu do not disclose expressly wherein control means controls the print position of the additional information on the print image *in such a manner that the additional information can visually recognized also in a condition that the paper is not folded, when the fold designation is present.*

Bergstresser discloses wherein control means controls the print position of the additional information on the print image *in such a manner that the additional* information can visually recognized also in a condition that the paper is not

folded, when the fold designation is present (see Fig. 2, figure shows that the additional information, which is located in regions 3a-d, is visible even when the paper is not folded).

Kurogane, Wakamatsu, & Bergstresser are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, printing documents consistent with printing and finishing properties specified by a user.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the printing of indexing and other information on the margin areas of paper as to be viewable when the paper is folded and unfolded.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to allow the user to easily view index information to open directly to a specific page to obtain the desired information (see column 5 lines 23-28 of Bergstresser).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Bergstresser with Kurogane and Wakamatsu to obtain the invention as specified in claims 6, 13, and 20.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. To further show state of the art refer to U.S. Patent numbers 6873426 (Farrell), 5207412 (Coons, Jr. et al.), and 5845942 (Hansen et al.) and U.S. Patent Application Publication numbers 2002/0051205 (Teranishi et al.) and 2001/0039554 (Iwasaki).

Application/Control Number: 09/885,055 Page 10

Art Unit: 2622

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark R. Milia whose telephone number is (571) 272-7408. The examiner can normally be reached M-F 8:00am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Coles can be reached at (571) 272-7402. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark R. Milia Examiner Art Unit 2622

MRM

JOSEPH R. POKRZYWA

EXAMINER

ART UNIT 2622

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1900